	Case 2:20-cv-00011-DAD-AC Document	nt 6 Filed 09/07/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EGOROV DMITRIY,	No. 2:20-cv-00011-DAD-AC (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING
14	KUCHUK YURIY,	ACTION, AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
15	Defendant.	MOTION TO PROCEED <i>IN FORMA</i> PAUPERIS AS MOOT
16		(Doc. Nos. 2, 3)
17		
18		
19	Plaintiff Egorov Dmitriy is proceeding pro se in this personal injury civil action. The	
20	matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
21	On January 16, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,	
22	recommending that plaintiff's complaint be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and	
23	because it is frivolous, and that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis be denied as	
24	moot. (Doc. No. 3.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained	
25	notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days of service. (<i>Id.</i> at 4.)	
26	No objections have been filed and the time to do so has since passed.	
27	Although it appears from the record on the docket that plaintiff's copy of the findings and	
28	recommendations was returned to the court as undeliverable, plaintiff was properly served. It is	

Case 2:20-cv-00011-DAD-AC Document 6 Filed 09/07/22 Page 2 of 2 the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times.

Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective. Additionally, the April 6, 2020 deadline for plaintiff to file a change of address with the court passed long ago and no such notice has been filed by plaintiff.

This court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

Accordingly,

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on January 16, 2020 (Doc. No. 3) are adopted in full;
- 2. Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. No. 1) is dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and frivolity;
- 3. Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No. 2) is denied as moot; and
- 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 6, 2022